Deliverable title: *Collection of inventoried events in a comprehensive integrated dataset*

Deliverable ID: Deliverable 2.2.1

Due date: 31st July 2023

Submission date: 31st July 2023

AUTHORS

Riccardo Fanti (RF); Benedetta Antonielli (BA); Carlo Tacconi Stefanelli (CTS); Matteo Del Soldato (MDS)

1. Technical references

Project Acronym	RETURN
Project Title	multi-Risk sciEnce for resilienT commUnities undeR a changiNg climate
Project Coordinator	Domenico Calcaterra UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI FEDERICO II domcalca@unina.it
Project Duration	December 2022 – November 2025 (36 months)

Deliverable No.	DV2.2.1
Dissemination level*	PU
Work Package	WP2 - State of the art and knowledge base to define impact-oriented hazard indicators
Task	Task 2.2.1 - Identification of areas at different scales affected or predisposed to ground instabilities, either in the subaerial (a) and submerged (b) environment by existing inventories and archives – implemented and updated by EO services – and permanent and temporary geophysical observatories (dynamic mapping).
Lead beneficiary	RF
Contributing beneficiary/ies	BA; CTS; MDS

*   PU = Public

PP = Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)

RE = Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)

CO = Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)

Document history

Version	Date	Lead contributor	Description
0.1	12/07/23	RF	First draft
0.2	21/07/23	RF/BA/CTS	Completion, review, and editing
0.3	26/07/23	RF/BA/MDS	Critical review and proofreading
0.4	30/07/23	Salvatore Martino, Francesca Bozzano (UniRoma1), Domenico Calcaterra, Diego Di Martire (UniNA), Riccardo Fanti (UniFI)	Completion, review, and editing
0.5	31/07/23	RF+WP Coordinators and TK Leader	Final review and editing

2. ABSTRACT

This report summarized the scientific research activities carried out in the period January – July 2023 by the Task 2.2.1 "Identification of areas at different scales affected or predisposed to ground instabilities, either in the subaerial (a) and submerged (b) environment by existing inventories and archives –implemented and updated by EO services – and permanent and temporary geophysical observatories (dynamic mapping)" (hereinafter referred to as TK1) of the Work Package 2.2 "State of the art and knowledge base to define impact-oriented hazard indicators" (hereinafter referred to as WP2) inside the vertical spoke VS2 "Ground Instabilities" of the Extended Partnership RETURN.

It should be noted that VS2 structured WP2, WP3 and WP4 by identifying the following areas of interest for each of them:

- WP2 focuses on the detection and analysis of PREDISPOSING factors to ground instabilities.

- WP3 targets PREPARATORY factors to ground instabilities.

- WP4 is centred on TRIGGERING and multiple geohazards cascading scenarios (MULTIHAZARD).

In accordance with the definitions given within the VS2, the distinction between predisposing, preparatory and triggering factors/processes is made on a temporal basis: in fact, it means that the predisposing factors are considered invariable on the observation scale, while the preparatory factors show changes or cyclical trends during the same period. As consequence, a trigger is considered as a process that acts in a very short and well-defined time.

The activities of WP2 were directed in the reference period to the examination of the factors predisposing the ground instabilities, starting from a series of case studies (defined Learning Examples, LEs) which represent experiences that each partner has carried out in recent times, and which include cutting-edge analyzes in the theme of characterization of predisposing factors and in the spatial and temporal quantification of susceptibility.

The partner involved in the WP2 are ENEA, OGS, POLITO, UNIBA, UNIBO, UNIFI, UNIGE, UNINA, UNIPA, UNIPD and UNIROMA1. WP2 leaders are Riccardo Fanti (UNIFI) e Mario Parise (UNIBA), TK1 leader is Francesco Maria Chiocci (UNIROMA1), TK2 leader is Mario Parise (UNIBA), TK3 leader is Matteo Berti (UNIBO). 72 researchers participate in the activities of WP2/TK1 (i.e., TK 2.2.1): 5 from ENEA, 3 from OGS, 6 from POLITO, 5 from UNIBA, 6 from UNIBO, 7 from UNIFI, 7 from UNIGE, 8 from UNINA, 13 from UNIPA, 8 from UNIPD and 4 from UNIROMA1.

The goal of TK1 (Identification of areas at different scales affected or predisposed to ground instabilities, either in the subaerial (a) and submerged (b) environment by existing inventories and archives –implemented and updated by EO services – and permanent and temporary geophysical observatories (dynamic mapping)) and the issue of DV 2.2.1 (Collection of inventoried events in a comprehensive integrated dataset) have been interpreted in the framework of the LEs collection. They therefore represent the "comprehensive integrated dataset" of the Deliverable and this document focuses on this.

According with the main idea of the Project and of VS2, the learning phase had the objective of building a Rationale for preparatory processes to be used as input to the Proof of Concept (PoC). This phase has been articulated in three stages:

   i)   Inventory of Learning Examples (LE).
   ii)   Individuation of the preparatory processes analyzed in each LE.
   iii) Definition of a Rationale for each process based on the available LEs.

During the implementation of the activities of TK1 (but also of TK2) the peculiarity of the theme of the submerged environments highlighted: the team working on this theme in fact proved to be of great quality, but small in number. This is also evident in the number of LEs dedicated to submarine landslides present in the dataset. For this reason, in the continuation of the Project, a specific analysis will be dedicated to the submarine

phenomena, which can be considered as a sort of "parallel" TK, which will be coordinated by Francesco Chiocci (UNIROMA1), as TK1 leader, but above all as expert of the theme.

3. Table of contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Figure 2: Distribution of WP3 LE2 as a function of (a) Environment: A - subaerial; W – underwater. (b) Context: M – mountain; H – hill; P – plain; C – coast; NS – near-shore. (c) Scale : L – local ; I – intermediate ; R – regional, X - Interregional. (d) Effect: LS – landslide; SU – subsidence; SI – sinkhole; LI – liquefaction. (d1) amongst Landslides: Underwater vs Subaerial; Rapid vs Slow; Deep vs Shallow..**Errore. Il segnalibro non è definito.**

4. First Section

4.1 Inventory of Learning Examples (LEs)

During the first months of the project (January – March 2023) each partner of the Spoke carried out an internal review of its past and recent research works, with the aim of selecting the most complete case studies that allow to extract learning and principles that could be extended to other contexts. These case studies, defined "Learning Examples" (LEs), have subjects that could be focused on i) the detection and analysis of PREDISPOSING factors to ground instabilities (WP2), ii) PREPARATORY factors to ground instabilities (WP3), iii) TRIGGERING and multiple geohazards cascading scenarios. For each LE, some scientific papers were stored in a repository (Windows Teams) accessible to all the institutions, in order to provide the reference for the contents of the works. The list of papers collected for WP2 is reported in Section 5.

Once the papers database has been populated, each LE has been inserted in an online inventory (one for each WPs), represented by a shared online table file. This table constituted a synoptic view of the research works, for which several information was provided by the authors of the LEs, including:

- The name/denomination of the LE (site name and/or geographical location or area of interest);

- The environment (subaerial/submerged);

- The context (mountain/hill/plain/coast/near-shore);

- The effect (landslide/subsidence/sinkhole/liquefaction);

- The scale (local/intermediate/regional);

- The analysis tools and techniques (on site monitoring/remote monitoring/deterministic analysis/statistical analysis/machine learning).

4.2 Dataset of LEs

The table for WP2 LEs is summarized in Table 1. The information included has been useful to highlight which research field and topic was well covered by the case studies and which was under-represented, and to realize the quantity and type of case studies available which represent the basis of the subsequent phases of the project. The LEs selected for the WP2 are located in the map of Figure 1.

Appendix A shows some examples relating to the identified processes, taken from the publications concerning the LEs.

Table 1 – Inventory of LEs for WP2. Env: environment (A - subaerial; W - underwater). Context: M – mountain; H – hill; P – plain; C – coast; NS – near-shore. Effect: LS – landslide; SU – subsidence; SI – sinkhole; LI – liquefaction. Scale: L – local; I – intermediate; R – regional. Learning Tools: RS - remote sensing monitoring; OS – onsite monitoring; D - deterministic analysis; S – statistical analysis; ML – Machine Learning.

Institution	LE name	Env		Context					Effect				Scale			Tools				
		A	W	M	H	P	C	NS	LS	SU	SI	LI	L	I	R	RS	OS	D	S	ML
UNIBA	Daunia	X		X	X				X				X	x		X	X	X		
	Coste Puglia e Basilicata	X					X		X				X		X	X	X	X	X	X
	Regione Puglia	X			X	X					X		X		X		X	X	X	
	Fossa Bradanica	X		X	X	X			X							X	X			X
UNIBO	Alta Val Camonica (BS)	X		X					X					X		X	X	X		
	Appennino Emiliano Romagnolo	X		X	X				X						X	X			X	
	Bologna area urbana	X				X				X			X			X				
ENEA	Provincia di Messina	X		X	X				X				X	X		X	X	X		
OGS	Prealpi Carniche	X		X	X				X				X			X	X			
UNIPA	Frana di Scopello	X		X	X	X	X		X				X	X		X	X		X	
	Messinese Ionico	X		X	X		X		X				X				X		X	
	Frana di Cerda	X			X				X				X	X			X			
POLITO	Alpi Occidentali, Road Vallone d'Elva (CN)	X		X					X				X			X	X	X		
UNIFI	Appennino Settentrionale	X		X	X				X				X		X	X	X		X	
	Regione Toscana	X		X	X	X			X	X						X	X		X	

	Italia settentrionale	X		X	X				X					X	X			X	
	Guidonia-Bagni di Tivoli	X				X			X	X		X			X			X	X
UNIGE	*Liguria e Piemonte*	X		X	X				X					X				X	
	Piccoli bacini idrografici del versante ligure-tirrenico	X		X	X				X			X	X				X	X	
UNINA	*Monti Lattari (campo prove M.te Faito)*	X		X					X			X			X				X
	Provincia Napoli N	X				X				X		X			X		X	X	
	Napoli	X			X				X				X			X		X	X
	Umbria Marche	X		X					X			X			X			X	
	Emilia Romagna	X				X					X				X			X	
	Regione Campania	X		X	X				X						X	X			
	Bisaccia	X			X				X			X			X			X	
	Pisciotta	X			X				X				X				X		
	Ischia	X		X					X				X						
UNIPD	*Po Delta*	X	X			X	X	X		X				X		X	X	X	X
	Pianura Veneto Friulana	X				X				X				X		X	X		X
	Frana di S.Andrea e Perarolo di Cadore	X		X					X					X	X	X	X		
	Dolomiti	X		X					X					X	X	X	X	X	X
UNIROMA1	*Subappennino Dauno*	X			X				X					X			X	X	
	Alta Val D'Orcia	X			X				X					X		X		X	
	Area Urbana di Roma	X			X	X			X	X	X			X	X	X		X	
	Regione Lazio Liquefazione	X			X	X						X			X				
	Fiumicino	X				X				X		X	X		X			X	
	Provincia Frosinone e MUSAR	X		X	X				X						X	X		X	X
	Poggio Baldi, Santa Sofia	X		X	X				X			X				X	X	X	

9

|																	
Frana Seymareh (Iran)	X					X	X				X			X	X		
Frana Loumar (Iran)	X		X				X				X		X	X	X		

Figure 1: Location of LEs inventoried for WP2.

Figure 2. Distribution of WP3 LE2 as a function of (a) Environment: A - subaerial; W – underwater. (b) Context: M – mountain; H – hill; P – plain; C – coast; NS – near-shore. (c) Scale : L – local ; I – intermediate ; R – regional, X - Interregional. (d) Effect: LS – landslide; SU – subsidence; SI – sinkhole; LI – liquefaction. (d1) amongst Landslides: Underwater vs Subaerial; Rapid vs Slow; Deep vs Shallow

The LEs inventory file has been checked by WP2 leaders and TK leaders, during the subsequent phase of the research work (March - May 2023), with the aim of verify the suitable assignment of each LE to the analyzed factors/processes of each WP (predisposing processes – WP2; preparatory processes: WP3; trigger: WP4). WP2 leaders and TK leaders, in synergy with each institution, for each LE, tried to identify the most important factors/processes PREDISPOSING to instability. The association between each LE and the predisposing

factors has been discussed and approved with the authors of the works. In a few cases, this kind revision has led to discarding some LEs initially proposed, or to found them more suitable for other WPs.

The following step of the work is to associate each predisposing factor to one or more LE (the "matrix inversion" step): the focus of this analysis was turned to the processes (instead of the single LEs).

5. Second Section

5.1 Strengths and Weaknesses of the approach

The strength of the Learning Examples lies in their provenance and the expertise behind their formulation. These examples were not randomly collected from various sources but were carefully curated by the researchers, ensuring that they align precisely with the specific objectives of the project. As a result, the dataset becomes a reservoir of high-quality information, providing a solid foundation for the analysis and investigation of ground instabilities.

Moreover, the in-depth analysis and scrutiny carried out by the researchers on each Learning Example enhance the richness of the dataset. Detailed examinations of various parameters, boundary conditions, and contributing factors provide a comprehensive understanding of the underlying mechanisms and complexities of ground instabilities. Such detailed analyses allow for a deeper exploration of cause-and-effect relationships, contributing to a more informed decision-making process for mitigating future ground instability risks.

However, while the dataset of Learning Examples boasts considerable strengths, it is also essential to acknowledge its inherent limitations. One of the primary weaknesses of the dataset is that it may not encompass the entirety of possible scenarios and contributing factors related to ground instabilities. The process of data collection, though meticulous, may exclude some phenomena, leading to potential gaps in the overall understanding of the problem.

So, this incompleteness of the dataset poses a challenge in making all-encompassing conclusions or generalizations about ground instabilities. Certain rare or unique cases may have been left unrepresented, and their exclusion might lead to incomplete or biased analyses.

Acknowledging the inherent limitations of the dataset of Learning Examples, WP2 undertook a meticulous and in-depth analysis of the available data. This analytical endeavor aimed to uncover and characterize the predisposing factors that were identified and proposed by the diverse project partners. The culmination of this effort was captured in the Deliverable 2.2.2, which served as a comprehensive documentation of the findings, insights, and methodologies employed in the analysis.

Main critical points derived from WP2 research work, and in particular in the collection of inventoried events for the definition of a comprehensive integrated dataset, are summarized together with some proposed solutions, in Table 2.

Table 2. Main critical points derived from WP2 research work of January - July 2023 and proposed solutions.

Critical point	*Solution to be implemented*
Lack of marine and underwater LEs for the definition of a comprehensive Rationale for the related predisposing	Dedicated *cascade funding call* to recruit new researchers with specific expertise in the marine environment.

Minor representation of liquefaction, subsidence and sinkhole effects with respect to landslide studies and LEs.	*Internal recall* for LEs devoted to these analyses and eventual *target search* for international bibliographic data and processing methods.
Lack of coverage with sufficient LEs for some predisposing factors.	*Internal recall* for LEs devoted to these analyses and eventual *target search* for international bibliographic data and processing methods.

6. Conclusions

In general, in the realm of data analysis and problem-solving, selecting the right analytical method is crucial for obtaining accurate and reliable results. Every analytical approach comes with its own set of strengths and weaknesses, and it is essential for researchers, scientists, and analysts to thoroughly evaluate and compare these aspects before making a decision. So, comparing the strengths and weaknesses of different analytical methods can lead to more robust and insightful conclusions.

In the first period of WP2 activities, the creation of a dataset of Learning Examples marked a pivotal milestone in the process of analyzing the predisposing factors of ground instabilities. This dataset served as a valuable repository of deeply mature scientific experiences conducted firsthand by the project partners. As a result, the Learning Examples were essentially case studies that held substantial significance, as they were intimately known and extensively analyzed by the participating researchers. This particular strength of the dataset is worth emphasizing, as it bestows a level of credibility and reliability to the subsequent analytical endeavors.

WP2 LEs Sheets (TK1)

The original working documents (WP2 LEs Sheets) have been classified and are available on the VS2 sharing platform (Microsoft Teams). They may be provided as a further appendix at a later stage of the Project.

7. WP2 LEs References

Agnesi, V., Rotigliano, E., Tammaro, U., Cappadonia, C., Conoscenti, C., Obrizzo, F., Di Maggio, C., Luzio, D. & Pingue, F. (2015). GPS monitoring of the Scopello (Sicily, Italy) DGSD phenomenon: Relationships between surficial and deep-seated morphodynamics, Engineering Geology for Society and Territory-Volume 2: Landslide Processes. Springer, pp. 1321-1325.

Berti, M., Bernard, M., Gregoretti, C. & Simoni, A. (2020). Physical interpretation of rainfall thresholds for runoff-generated debris flows. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 125(6): e2019JF005513.

Berti, M., Martina, M., Franceschini, S., Pignone, S., Simoni, A. & Pizziolo, M. (2012). Probabilistic rainfall thresholds for landslide occurrence using a Bayesian approach. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 117(F4).

Bianchini, S., Confuorto, P., Intrieri, E., Sbarra, P., Di Martire, D., Calcaterra, D. and Fanti, R., 2022. Machine learning for sinkhole risk mapping in Guidonia-Bagni di Tivoli plain (Rome), Italy. Geocarto International, 37(27): 16687-16715.

Borgatti, L., Guerra, C., Nesci, O., Romeo, R. W., Veneri, F., Landuzzi, A., Benedetti, G., Marchi, G., & Lucente, C. C. (2015). The 27 February 2014 San Leo landslide (northern Italy). Landslides, 12(2), 387–394. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-015-0559-4

Bossi, G., Schenato, L., & Marcato, G. (2017). Structural Health Monitoring of a Road Tunnel Intersecting a Large and Active Landslide. Applied Sciences, 7(12), 1271. https://doi.org/10.3390/app7121271

Bouma, T. J., van Belzen, J., Balke, T., Zhu, Z., Airoldi, L., Blight, A. J., Davies, A. J., Galvan, C., Hawkins, S. J., Hoggart, S. P. G., Lara, J. L., Losada, I. J., Maza, M., Ondiviela, B., Skov, M. W., Strain, E. M., Thompson, R. C., Yang, S., Zanuttigh, B., … Herman, P. M. J. (2014). Identifying knowledge gaps hampering application of intertidal habitats in coastal protection: Opportunities & steps to take. Coastal Engineering, 87, 147–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2013.11.014

Bovolenta, R., Federici, B., Marzocchi, R. & Berardi, R. (2018). A new GIS-based multivariate statistical analysis for landslide susceptibility zoning. In: A.e. al. (Editor), Landslides Engineered Slopes. Experience, Theory Practice, Roma, pp. 511-516.

Bozzano, F., Esposito, C., Franchi, S., Mazzanti, P., Perissin, D., Rocca, A. & Romano, E. (2015). Understanding the subsidence process of a quaternary plain by combining geological and hydrogeological modelling with satellite InSAR data: The Acque Albule Plain case study. Remote Sensing of Environment, 168: 219-238.

Bozzano, F., Esposito, C., Mazzanti, P., Patti, M. & Scancella, S. (2018). Imaging multi-age construction settlement behaviour by advanced SAR interferometry. Remote Sensing, 10(7): 1137.

Bozzano, F., Martino, S. & Priori, M. (2006). Natural and man-induced stress evolution of slopes: the Monte Mario hill in Rome. Environmental Geology, 50: 505-524.

Brandolini, P., Cevasco, A., Capolongo, D., Pepe, G., Lovergine, F., & Del Monte, M. (2018). Response of Terraced Slopes to a Very Intense Rainfall Event and Relationships with Land Abandonment: A Case Study from Cinque Terre (Italy): Agricultural Terraces and Slope Instability at Cinque Terre (NW Italy). Land Degradation & Development, 29(3), 630–642. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2672

Brenna, A., Marchi, L., Borga, M., Ghinassi, M., Zaramella, M. & Surian, N. (2021). Sediment–water flows in mountain catchments: Insights into transport mechanisms as responses to high-magnitude hydrological events. Journal of Hydrology, 602: 126716.

Brezzi, L., Carraro, E., Pasa, D., Teza, G., Cola, S. & Galgaro, A. (2021). Post-Collapse Evolution of a Rapid Landslide from Sequential Analysis with FE and SPH-Based Models. Geosciences, 11(9): 364.

Cadrobbi, L., D'Anastasio, F., Duranti, D., Falconi, I., Fiore, A., Frumento, S., Garbin, F., Gennari, E., & Gisotti, G. (n.d.). CONSIGLIO DIRETTIVO NAZIONALE.

Cama, M., Lombardo, L., Conoscenti, C., Agnesi, V. & Rotigliano, E. (2015). Predicting storm-triggered debris flow events: application to the 2009 Ionian Peloritan disaster (Sicily, Italy). Natural Hazards and Earth System Science, 15(8): 1785-1806.

Cama, M., Lombardo, L., Conoscenti, C. & Rotigliano, E. (2017). Improving transferability strategies for debris flow susceptibility assessment: Application to the Saponara and Itala catchments (Messina, Italy). Geomorphology, 288: 52-65.

Casalbore, D., Passeri, F., Tommasi, P., Verrucci, L., Bosman, A., Romagnoli, C. & Chiocci, F.L. (2020). Small-scale slope instability on the submarine flanks of insular volcanoes: The case-study of the Sciara del Fuoco slope (Stromboli). International Journal of Earth Sciences, 109: 2643-2658.

Ceramicola, S., Praeg, D., Coste, M., Forlin, E., Cova, A., Colizza, E. & Critelli, S. (2014a). Submarine mass-movements along the slopes of the active Ionian continental margins and their consequences for marine geohazards (Mediterranean Sea), Submarine mass movements and their consequences: 6th international symposium. Springer, pp. 295-306.

Ceramicola, S., Tinti, S., Zaniboni, F., Praeg, D., Planinsek, P., Pagnoni, G. & Forlin, E. (2014b). Reconstruction and tsunami modeling of a submarine landslide on the Ionian Margin of Calabria (Mediterranean Sea), Landslide Science for a Safer Geoenvironment: Volume 3: Targeted Landslides. Springer, pp. 557-562.

Cevasco, A., Pepe, G. & Brandolini, P. (2014). The influences of geological and land use settings on shallow landslides triggered by an intense rainfall event in a coastal terraced environment. Bulletin of Engineering Geology the Environment, 73: 859-875.

Chiocci, F.L. & Ridente, D. (2011). Regional-scale seafloor mapping and geohazard assessment. The experience from the Italian project MaGIC (Marine Geohazards along the Italian Coasts). Marine Geophysical Research, 32(1-2): 13-23.

Chiocci, F.L., Romagnoli, C., Tommasi, P. &d Bosman, A. (2008). The Stromboli 2002 tsunamigenic submarine slide: characteristics and possible failure mechanisms. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 113(B10).

Ciuffi, P., Bayer, B., Berti, M., Franceschini, S. & Simoni, A. (2021). Deformation detection in cyclic landslides prior to their reactivation using two-pass satellite interferometry. Applied Sciences, 11(7): 3156.

Colantoni, P., Gennesseaux, M., Vanney, J., Ulzega, A., Melegari, G. & Trombetta, A. (1992). Processi Dinamici Del Canyon Sottomarino de Gioia Tauro (Mare Tirreno). Giornale di Geologia.

Colombero, C., Baillet, L., Comina, C., Jongmans, D. & Vinciguerra, S. (2017). Characterization of the 3-D fracture setting of an unstable rock mass: From surface and seismic investigations to numerical modeling. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 122(8): 6346-6366.

Colombero, C., Comina, C., Umili, G. & Vinciguerra, S. (2016). Multiscale geophysical characterization of an unstable rock mass. Tectonophysics, 675: 275-289.

Colombero, C., Godio, A., & Jongmans, D. (2021). Ambient Seismic Noise and Microseismicity Monitoring of a Prone-To-Fall Quartzite Tower (Ormea, NW Italy). Remote Sensing, 13(9), 1664. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13091664

Confuorto, P., Del Soldato, M., Solari, L., Festa, D., Bianchini, S., Raspini, F. & Casagli, N. (2021). Sentinel-1-based monitoring services at regional scale in Italy: State of the art and main findings. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation Geoinformation, 102: 102448.

Corradino, M., Morelli, D., Ceramicola, S., Scarfì, L., Barberi, G., Monaco, C. & Pepe, F. (2023). Active tectonics in the Calabrian Arc: Insights from the Late Miocene to Recent structural evolution of the Squillace Basin (offshore eastern Calabria). Tectonophysics, 851: 229772.

D'Angiò, D., Fantini, A., Fiorucci, M., Iannucci, R., Lenti, L., Marmoni, G. M., & Martino, S. (2021). Environmental forcings and micro-seismic monitoring in a rock wall prone to fall during the 2018 Buran winter storm. Natural Hazards, 106(3), 2599–2617. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-021-04556-5

D'Effremo, M., Desideri, A., Martínez, M.G., Gottardi, G., Ricceri, G., Selleri, A., Simonini, P. & Torsello, P. (2018). Analysis and monitoring of a tunnelling-induced deep landslide reactivation, Landslides and Engineered Slopes. Experience, Theory and Practice. CRC Press, pp. 735-742.

de Magistris, F.S., Lanzano, G., Forte, G. & Fabbrocino, G. (2013). A database for PGA threshold in liquefaction occurrence. Soil Dynamics Earthquake Engineering, 54: 17-19.

De Vita, P., Carratù, M.T., La Barbera, G. a&nd Santoro, S. (2013). Kinematics and geological constraints of the slow-moving Pisciotta rock slide (southern Italy). Geomorphology, 201: 415-429.

De Vita, P., Di Clemente, E., Rolandi, M. & Celico, P. (2007). Engineering geological models of the initial landslides occurred on the April 30th, 2006, at the Mount Di Vezzi (Ischia Island, Italy). Italian Journal of Engineering Geology Environment (2): 119-141.

Delchiaro, M., Della Seta, M., Martino, S., Dehbozorgi, M., & Nozaem, R. (2019). Reconstruction of river valley evolution before and after the emplacement of the giant Seymareh rock avalanche (Zagros Mts., Iran). Earth Surface Dynamics, 7(4), 929–947. https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-7-929-2019

Delchiaro, M., Della Seta, M., Martino, S., Nozaem, R., & Moumeni, M. (2023). Tectonic deformation and landscape evolution inducing mass rock creep driven landslides: The Loumar case-study (Zagros Fold and Thrust Belt, Iran). Tectonophysics, 846, 229655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2022.229655

Del Prete, S. & Mele, R. (2006). Il contributo delle informazioni storiche per la valutazione della propensione al dissesto nell'Isola d'Ischia (Campania). Rendiconti Società Geologica Italiana, 2: 29-47.

Della Seta, M., Martino, S. & Mugnozza, G.S. (2013). Quaternary sea-level change and slope instability in coastal areas: Insights from the Vasto Landslide (Adriatic coast, central Italy). Geomorphology, 201: 462-478.

Desideri, A. (2021). Gallerie e movimenti di versante. Rivista Italiana di Geotecnica, 1: 5-41.

Di Maio, R., De Paola, C., Forte, G., Piegari, E., Pirone, M., Santo, A. & Urciuoli, G. (2020). An integrated geological, geotechnical and geophysical approach to identify predisposing factors for flowslide occurrence. Engineering Geology, 267: 105473.

Di Martire, D., De Rosa, M., Pesce, V., Santangelo, M.A. & Calcaterra, D. (2012). Landslide hazard and land management in high-density urban areas of Campania region, Italy. Natural Hazards Earth System Sciences, 12(4): 905-926.

Di Martire, D., Novellino, A., Ramondini, M. & Calcaterra, D. (2016). A-differential synthetic aperture radar interferometry analysis of a deep seated gravitational slope deformation occurring at Bisaccia (Italy). Science of the Total Environment, 550: 556-573.

Di Napoli, M., Marsiglia, P., Di Martire, D., Ramondini, M., Ullo, S.L. & Calcaterra, D. (2020). Landslide susceptibility assessment of wildfire burnt areas through earth-observation techniques and a machine learning-based approach. Remote Sensing, 12(15): 2505.

Donati, D., Stead, D., Elmo, D., & Borgatti, L. (2019). A Preliminary Investigation on the Role of Brittle Fracture in the Kinematics of the 2014 San Leo Landslide. Geosciences, 9(6), 256. https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences9060256

Esposito, C., Belcecchi, N., Bozzano, F., Brunetti, A., Marmoni, G.M., Mazzanti, P., Romeo, S., Cammillozzi, F., Cecchini, G. & Spizzirri, M., (2021a). Integration of satellite-based A-DInSAR and geological modeling supporting the prevention from anthropogenic sinkholes: a case study in the urban area of Rome. Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk, 12(1): 2835-2864.

Esposito, C., Di Luzio, E., Baleani, M., Troiani, F., Della Seta, M., Bozzano, F. & Mazzanti, P. (2021b). Fold architecture predisposing deep-seated gravitational slope deformations within a flysch sequence in the Northern Apennines (Italy). Geomorphology, 380: 107629.

Evangelista, L. & Santucci de Magistris, F. (2011). Upgrading the simplified assessment of the liquefaction susceptibility for the city of naples, Italy, 5th International Conference on Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering, Santiago, Chile, pp. 13.

Fazio, N., Perrotti, M., Andriani, G., Mancini, F., Rossi, P., Castagnetti, C. & Lollino, P. (2019). A new methodological approach to assess the stability of discontinuous rocky cliffs using in-situ surveys supported by UAV-based techniques and 3-D finite element model: a case study. Engineering Geology, 260: 105205.

Fiaschi, S., Fabris, M., Floris, M. & Achilli, V. (2018). Estimation of land subsidence in deltaic areas through differential SAR interferometry: The Po River Delta case study (Northeast Italy). International Journal of Remote Sensing, 39(23): 8724-8745.

Flora, A., Bilotta, E., Chiaradonna, A., Lirer, S., Mele, L. & Pingue, L. (2021). A field trial to test the efficiency of induced partial saturation and horizontal drains to mitigate the susceptibility of soils to liquefaction. Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 19: 3835-3864.

Florida Geological Survey & Tetra Tech, Inc. (2020). [No title found]. In National Cave and Karst Research Institute, L. Land, C. Kromhout, & M. Byle (Eds.), Proceedings Of The 16th Multidisciplinary Conference On Sinkholes And The Engineering And Environmental Impacts Of Karst. National Cave and Karst Research Institute.

Floris, M., Fontana, A., Tessari, G. & Mulè, M. (2019). Subsidence zonation through satellite interferometry in coastal plain environments of NE Italy: a possible tool for geological and geomorphological mapping in urban areas. Remote Sensing, 11(2): 165.

Forte, G., Pirone, M., Santo, A., Nicotera, M.V. & Urciuoli, G. (2019). Triggering and predisposing factors for flow-like landslides in pyroclastic soils: the case study of the Lattari Mts. (southern Italy). Engineering Geology, 257: 105137.

Forte, G., Verrucci, L., Di Giulio, A., De Falco, M., Tommasi, P., Lanzo, G., Franke, K.W. & Santo, A. (2021). Analysis of major rock slides that occurred during the 2016–2017 Central Italy seismic sequence. Engineering Geology, 290: 106194.

Gargiulo, F., d'Onofrio, A. & Silvestri, F. (2021). Approccio multi-livello per le verifiche a liquefazione: un'applicazione all'Isola di Ischia, Incontro annuale dei Ricercatori di Geotecnica 2021.

Giacomelli, S., Zuccarini, A., Amorosi, A., Bruno, L., Di Paola, G., Severi, P., Martini, A. & Berti, M. (under review). 3d Geological Modelling of the Bologna Urban Area (Italy).

Guadagno, F. & Mele, R. (1995). La fragile isola d'Ischia. Geologia Applicata e Idrogeologia, 30(1): 177-187.

Guarino, P.M., Santo, A., Forte, G., De Falco, M. & Niceforo, D.M.A. (2018). Analysis of a database for anthropogenic sinkhole triggering and zonation in the Naples hinterland (Southern Italy). Natural Hazards, 91: 173-192.

Guerriero, L., Confuorto, P., Calcaterra, D., Guadagno, F.M., Revellino, P. & Di Martire, D. (2019). PS-driven inventory of town-damaging landslides in the Benevento, Avellino and Salerno Provinces, southern Italy. Journal of Maps, 15(2): 619-625.

La Salandra, M., Roseto, R., Mele, D., Dellino, P. & Capolongo, D. (2022). Probabilistic hydro-geomorphological hazard assessment based on UAV-derived high-resolution topographic data: The case of Basento river (Southern Italy). Science of The Total Environment, 842: 156736.

Lazzari, M., Piccarreta, M., Capolongo, D. (2013). Landslide Triggering and Local Rainfall Thresholds in Bradanic Foredeep, Basilicata Region (Southern Italy). In: Margottini, C., Canuti, P., Sassa, K. (eds) Landslide Science and Practice. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31445-2_88

Lo Iacono, C., Sulli, A., Agate, M., Lo Presti, V., Pepe, F. & Catalano, R. (2011). Submarine canyon morphologies in the Gulf of Palermo (Southern Tyrrhenian Sea) and possible implications for geo-hazard. Marine Geophysical Research, 32: 127-138.

Lollino, P., Pagliarulo, R., Trizzino, R., Santaloia, F., Pisano, L., Zumpano, V., Perrotti, M. & Fazio, N.L. (2021). Multi-scale approach to analyse the evolution of soft rock coastal cliffs and role of controlling factors: A case study in south-eastern Italy. Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk, 12(1): 1058-1081.

Lollino, P., Santaloia, F., Amorosi, A. & Cotecchia, F. (2011). Delayed failure of quarry slopes in stiff clays: the case of the Lucera landslide. Géotechnique, 61(10): 861-874.

Mangano, G., Zecchin, M., Civile, D., Ceramicola, S., Donato, A., Muto, F., Tripodi, V. & Critelli, S. (2022). Mid-miocene to recent tectonic evolution of the punta stilo swell (calabrian arc, southern Italy): an effect of calabrian arc migration. Marine Geology, 448: 106810.

Margiotta, S., Marini, G., Fay, S., D'Onghia, F.M., Liso, I.S., Parise, M. & Pinna, M. (2021). Hydro-stratigraphic conditions and human activity leading to development of a sinkhole cluster in a Mediterranean water ecosystem. Hydrology, 8(3): 111.

Marmoni, G. M., Fiorucci, M., Grechi, G., & Martino, S. (2020). Modelling of thermo-mechanical effects in a rock quarry wall induced by near-surface temperature fluctuations. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 134, 104440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2020.104440

Martinello, C., Cappadonia, C., Conoscenti, C., Agnesi, V. & Rotigliano, E. (2021). Optimal slope units partitioning in landslide susceptibility mapping. Journal of Maps, 17(3): 152-162.

Martinello, C., Cappadonia, C., Conoscenti, C. & Rotigliano, E. (2022). Landform classification: a high-performing mapping unit partitioning tool for landslide susceptibility assessment—a test in the Imera River basin (northern Sicily, Italy). Landslides: 1-15.

Martino, S., Fiorucci, M., Marmoni, G. M., Casaburi, L., Antonielli, B., & Mazzanti, P. (2022). Increase in landslide activity after a low-magnitude earthquake as inferred from DInSAR interferometry. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 2686. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06508-w

Meena, S.R., Puliero, S., Bhuyan, K., Floris, M. & Catani, F. (2022). Assessing the importance of conditioning factor selection in landslide susceptibility for the province of Belluno (region of Veneto, northeastern Italy). Natural Hazards and Earth System Science, 22(4): 1395-1417.

Mele, R. & Del Prete, S. (1998). Fenomeni di instabilita dei versanti in Tufo Verde del Monte Epomeo (isola d'Ischia, Campania). Bollettino della Società geologica italiana, 117(1): 93-112.

Miele, P., Di Napoli, M., Novellino, A., Calcaterra, D., Mallorqui, J.J. & Di Martire, D. (2022). SAR data and field surveys combination to update rainfall-induced shallow landslide inventory. Remote Sensing Applications: Society Environment, 26: 100755.

Migliazza, M., Carriero, M.T., Lingua, A., Pontoglio, E. & Scavia, C. (2021). Rock mass characterization by UAV and close-range photogrammetry: a multiscale approach applied along the Vallone dell'Elva Road (Italy). Geosciences, 11(11): 436.

Modoni, G., Darini, G., Spacagna, R.L., Saroli, M., Russo, G. & Croce, P. (2013). Spatial analysis of land subsidence induced by groundwater withdrawal. Engineering geology, 167: 59-71.

Monti, L., D'Elia, G. & Toccaceli, R., Analisi del dissesto da frana in Campania.

Napoli, R., Crovato, C., Falconi, L. & Gioè, C. (2015). Soil Water Content and Triggering of Debris Flows in the Messina Area (Italy): Preliminary Remarks, Engineering Geology for Society and Territory-Volume 2: Landslide Processes. Springer, pp. 2113-2117.

Occhiena, C., & Pirulli, M. (2012). Analysis of Climatic Influences on Slope Microseismic Activity and Rockfalls: Case Study of the Matterhorn Peak (Northwestern Alps). Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 138(8), 1012–1021. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0000662

Occhiena, C., Pirulli, M., & Scavia, C. (2014). A microseismic-based procedure for the detection of rock slope instabilities. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 69, 67–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2014.02.020

Parise, M., Federico, A. & Palladino, G. (2012). Historical evolution of multi-source mudslides, Landslides and Engineered Slopes. Protecting Society through Improved Understanding, edited by: Eberhardt, E., Froese, C., Turner, AK, and Lerouil, S., Proceedings 11th Int. Symp. Landslides, Banff (Canada), pp. 3-8.

Parise, M. & Vennari, C. (2017). Distribution and features of natural and anthropogenic sinkholes in Apulia. In: P. Renard and C. Bertrand (Editors), EuroKarst 2016, Neuchâtel: Advances in the Hydrogeology of Karst and Carbonate Reservoirs. Springer, pp. 27-34.

Pennino, V., Sulli, A., Caracausi, A., Grassa, F. & Interbartolo, F. (2014). Fluid escape structures in the north Sicily continental margin. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 55: 202-213.

Pepe, P., Pentimone, N., Garziano, G., Martimucci, V. & Parise, M. (2013). Lessons learned from occurrence of sinkholes related to man-made cavities in a town of southern Italy. In: D.H.D. Lewis Land, and J. Brad Stephenson (Editor), 13th Multidisciplinary Conference on Sinkholes and the Engineering and Environmental Impacts of Karst.

Piacentini, D., Troiani, F., Daniele, G. & Pizziolo, M. (2018). Historical geospatial database for landslide analysis: the Catalogue of Landslide OCcurrences in the Emilia-Romagna Region (CLOCkER). Landslides, 15(4): 811-822.

Pignalosa, A., Forte, G., Budetta, P. & Santo, A. (2022). Topographic amplification and debris remobilization as a cause for increasing rockfall hazard in seismic areas: A case study in Central Italy. Geomorphology, 403: 108160.

Pirone, M., Di Maio, R., Forte, G., De Paola, C., Di Marino, E., Salone, R., Santo, A., & Urciuoli, G. (2023). Study of the groundwater regime in unsaturated slopes prone to landslides by multidisciplinary investigations: Experimental study and numerical modelling. Engineering Geology, 315, 107045. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2023.107045

Pontoglio, E., Colucci, E., Lingua, A., Maschio, P., Migliazza, M. & Scavia, C. (2020). UAV and close-range photogrammetry to support geo-mechanical analysis in safety road management: The "vallone d'elva" road, The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing Spatial Information Sciences, pp. 1159-1166.

Puglisi, C., Falconi, L., Gioè, C. & Leoni, G. (2015). Contribution to the runout evaluation of potential debris flows in Peloritani Mountains (Messina, Italy), Engineering Geology for Society and Territory-Volume 2: Landslide Processes. Springer, pp. 509-513.

Raimondi, L., Pepe, G., Firpo, M., Calcaterra, D. & Cevasco, A. (2023). An open-source and QGIS-integrated physically based model for Spatial Prediction of Rainfall-Induced Shallow Landslides (SPRIn-SL). Environmental Modelling Software, 160: 105587.

Refice, A., Partipilo, L., Bovenga, F., Lovergine, F., Nutricato, R., Nitti, D. & Capolongo, D. (2022). Remotely Sensed Detection of Badland Erosion Using Multitemporal InSAR, IGARSS 2022-2022 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. IEEE, pp. 5989-5992.

Renard, P., & Bertrand, C. (Eds.). (2017). EuroKarst 2016, Neuchâtel: Advances in the Hydrogeology of Karst and Carbonate Reservoirs. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-45465-8

Romeo, S., Cosentino, A., Giani, F., Mastrantoni, G. & Mazzanti, P., (2021). Combining ground based remote sensing tools for rockfalls assessment and monitoring: The Poggio Baldi Landslide Natural Laboratory. Sensors, 21(8): 2632.

Ronchi, L., Fontana, A., Cohen, K.M. & Stouthamer, E. (2021). Late Quaternary landscape evolution of the buried incised valley of Concordia Sagittaria (Tagliamento River, NE Italy): A reconstruction of incision and transgression. Geomorphology, 373: 107509.

Rosi, A., Tofani, V., Agostini, A., Tanteri, L., Tacconi Stefanelli, C., Catani, F. & Casagli, N. (2016). Subsidence mapping at regional scale using persistent scatters interferometry (PSI): The case of Tuscany region (Italy). International journal of applied earth observation geoinformation, 52: 328-337.

Rosi, A., Tofani, V., Tanteri, L., Tacconi Stefanelli, C., Agostini, A., Catani, F. & Casagli, N. (2018). The new landslide inventory of Tuscany (Italy) updated with PS-InSAR: geomorphological features and landslide distribution. Landslides, 15: 5-19.

Rosone, M., Ziccarelli, M., & Ferrari, A. (2020). Displacement Evolution of a Large Landslide in a Highly Fissured Clay. In F. Calvetti, F. Cotecchia, A. Galli, & C. Jommi (Eds.), Geotechnical Research for Land Protection and Development (Vol. 40, pp. 195–204). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-21359-6_21

Rosone, M., Ziccarelli, M., Ferrari, A., & Farulla, C. A. (2018). On the reactivation of a large landslide induced by rainfall in highly fissured clays. Engineering Geology, 235, 20–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2018.01.016

Salvatici, T., Tofani, V., Rossi, G., D'Ambrosio, M., Tacconi Stefanelli, C., Masi, E.B., Rosi, A., Pazzi, V., Vannocci, P. & Petrolo, M. (2018). Application of a physically based model to forecast shallow landslides at a regional scale. Natural Hazards Earth System Sciences, 18(7): 1919-1935.

Sansò, P., Gianfreda, F., Leucci, G. & Mastronuzzi, G. (2016). Cliff evolution and late Holocene relative sea level change along the Otranto coast (Salento peninsula, southern Apulia, Italy). GeoResJ, 9: 42-53.

Santucci de Magistris, F., Lanzano, G., Forte, G. & Fabbrocino, G. (2014). A peak acceleration threshold for soil liquefaction: lessons learned from the 2012 Emilia earthquake (Italy). Natural hazards, 74: 1069-1094.

Scardino, G., Anzidei, M., Petio, P., Serpelloni, E., De Santis, V., Rizzo, A., Liso, S.I., Zingaro, M., Capolongo, D. & Vecchio, A. (2022). The Impact of Future Sea-Level Rise on Low-Lying Subsiding Coasts: A Case Study of Tavoliere Delle Puglie (Southern Italy). Remote Sensing, 14(19): 4936.

Scardino, G., Sabatier, F., Scicchitano, G., Piscitelli, A., Milella, M., Vecchio, A., Anzidei, M. & Mastronuzzi, G. (2020). Sea-level rise and shoreline changes along an open sandy coast: Case study of gulf of Taranto, Italy. Water, 12(5): 1414.

Scotto di Santolo, A., Forte, G. & Santo, A. (2018). Analysis of sinkhole triggering mechanisms in the hinterland of Naples (Southern Italy). Engineering geology, 237: 42-52.

Silvestri, F., Aiello, V., Barile, A., Costanzo, A., Puglia, R., Pescatore, T.S., Lo Russo, E., Pinto, F. & Tornesello, D. (2006). Analisi e zonazione della stabilità dei pendii in condizioni sismiche: applicazioni di metodi tradizionali ed avanzati ad un'area di studio. Questioni di Ingegneria Geotecnica–Scritti in onore di Arturo Pellegrino, 2: 617-660.

Simoni, A., Bernard, M., Berti, M., Boreggio, M., Lanzoni, S., Stancanelli, L.M. & Gregoretti, C. (2020). Runoff-generated debris flows: Observation of initiation conditions and erosion–deposition dynamics along the channel at Cancia (eastern Italian Alps). Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 45(14): 3556-3571.

Spalluto, L., Fiore, A., Miccoli, M.N. & Parise, M. (2021). Activity maps of multi-source mudslides from the Daunia Apennines (Apulia, southern Italy). Natural Hazards, 106(1): 277-301.

Sulli, A., Agate, M., Zizzo, E., Gasparo Morticelli, M., & Lo Iacono, C. (2021). Geo-hazards of the San Vito peninsula offshore (southwestern Tyrrhenian Sea). Journal of Maps, 17(3), 185–196. https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2020.1866703

Surian, N., Righini, M., Lucía, A., Nardi, L., Amponsah, W., Benvenuti, M., Borga, M., Cavalli, M., Comiti, F. & Marchi, L. (2016). Channel response to extreme floods: insights on controlling factors from six mountain rivers in northern Apennines, Italy. Geomorphology, 272: 78-91.

Tacconi Stefanelli, C., Casagli, N. a&nd Catani, F. (2020). Landslide damming hazard susceptibility maps: a new GIS-based procedure for risk management. Landslides, 17: 1635-1648.

Tacconi Stefanelli, C., Segoni, S., Casagli, N. & Catani, F. (2016). Geomorphic indexing of landslide dams evolution. Engineering Geology, 208: 1-10.

Teatini, P., Tosi, L. & Strozzi, T. (2011). Quantitative evidence that compaction of Holocene sediments drives the present land subsidence of the Po Delta, Italy. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 116(B8).

Tinti, S., Pagnoni, G., & Zaniboni, F. (2006). The landslides and tsunamis of the 30th of December 2002 in Stromboli analysed through numerical simulations. Bulletin of Volcanology, 68(5), 462–479. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-005-0022-9

Tonni, L., Gottardi, G., Amoroso, S., Bardotti, R., Bonzi, L., Chiaradonna, A., D'Onofrio, A., Fioravante, V., Ghinelli, A. & Giretti, D. (2015). Analisi dei fenomeni deformativi indotti dalla sequenza sismica emiliana del 2012 su un tratto di argine del Canale Diversivo di Burana (FE). Rivista Italiana di Geotecnica, 49(2): 28-58.

Tufano, R., Guerriero, L., Annibali Corona, M., Bausilio, G., Di Martire, D., Nisio, S. & Calcaterra, D. (2022). Anthropogenic sinkholes of the city of Naples, Italy: An update. Natural Hazards, 112(3): 2577-2608.

Vennari, C., Salvati, P., Bianchi, C., Casarano, D., Parise, M., Basso, A. & Marchesini, I. (2022). AReGeoDatHa: Apulian Regional GeoDatabase for geo-hydrological Hazards. Journal of Environmental Management, 322: 116051.

Zaniboni, F., Armigliato, A., Pagnoni, G. & Tinti, S. (2014). Continental margins as a source of tsunami hazard: the 1977 Gioia Tauro (Italy) landslide–tsunami investigated through numerical modeling. Marine Geology, 357: 210-217.

Zanuttigh, B., Simcic, D., Bagli, S., Bozzeda, F., Pietrantoni, L., Zagonari, F., Hoggart, S., & Nicholls, R. J. (2014). THESEUS decision support system for coastal risk management. Coastal Engineering, 87, 218–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2013.11.013

Zuliani, D., Tunini, L., Di Traglia, F., Chersich, M. & Curone, D. (2022). Cost-effective, single-frequency GPS network as a tool for landslide monitoring. Sensors, 22(9): 3526.

Zumpano, V., Ardizzone, F., Basso, A., Bucci, F., Cardinali, M., Fiorucci, F., Parise, M., Pisano, L., Reichenbach, P., Santangelo, M., Wasoski, J. & Lollino, P. (2020). Spatio-temporal analysis of landslides in the Daunia Apennine (Apulia, SE Italy). Example from Motta Montecorvino, Geologia dell'Ambiente.

# 8. Appendix A – Examples of the processes considered

Fig. 2 (a) Map of the landslide identification points (LIPs) and field view of the following: lateral (b) and main (c) scarps and damaged sectors (d, e, f) at the foot area of the 2015 rotational/flow complex landslide affecting the A19 motorway; translational slides; main scarp (g) and landslide accumulation (h) of the 2015 rotational slide affecting the SS643 national road; damage caused to a wind farm (i, before; j, after) in the head zone of the rotational slide affecting the SP53 country road; (k) landslide scarp/head sector of the rotational slide/flow complex landslide in the Suvari area. The stars in (a) indicate the sites for the (b)–(k) field examples, with the same colours as the corresponding picture frameworks

Figure A.1. Example of landslide, subaerial environment, rotational slide/flow/complex phenomena (from Martinello et al., 2022).

Figure 3. UAV photograph of the Carbone earthflow taken after reactivation (a). Schematic map and profile of the landslide deposit (b).

Figure A.2. Example of landslide, subaerial environment, earthflow (from Ciuffi et al., 2021).

Fig. 84.2 Channelised (on the left) and unconfined (on the right) debris flows

Figure A.3. Example of landslide, subaerial environment, debris flows (from Puglisi et al., 2015).

Fig. 6 Examples of shallow landslides induced by the 25 October 2011 rainfall event in the Vernazza basin (a–c) and comparison between post-event conditions just upstream the inhabited area showing debris flood deposits (d) and the same area after the removal of debris and mud (e). All photographs by A. Cevasco

Figure A.4. Example of landslide, subaerial environment, shallow phenomena (from Cevasco et al., 2014).

Figure 1. (a) Location of Perarolo di Cadore (NE Italy); (b) frontal view of the landslide area; (c) orthophoto of Sant'Andrea landslide site and its neighborhood. In the orthophoto the boundary of landslide as well as the rivers Boite and Piave, Perarolo village, the main human infrastructures existing near the landslides and the main reinforcement structure built in the past to stabilize the slope are indicated.

Figure A.5. Example of landslide, subaerial environment, rapid phenomenon (from Brezzi et al., 2021).

Fig. 4. Goa zones for the studied rock slides: a) Nasa (NR); b) Cadu Cattive (CC); c) Pai La Rocca (PR); d) Rubinano (RR).

Figure A.6. Example of landslide, subaerial environment, rockslides (from Forte et al., 2021).

24

Figure 4. Instabilities of 2 June 2018 (about 250 m³) and 25 February 2015 (more than 2000 m³).

Figure A.7. Example of landslide, subaerial environment, rockfalls (from Migliazza et al., 2021).

Fig. 2. a) Accumulation area of the Poggio Baldi landslide event on 25th March 1914; b) the Poggio Baldi detachment area in a picture taken in 1924; c) the Poggio Baldi landslide in the week following its reactivation the 18th March 2010; d) the Poggio Baldi barrier lake formed after the 2010 landslide event.

Figure A.8. Example of landslide, subaerial environment, DSGSDs (from Esposito et al., 2021).

Fig. 26.5 Headwall and sidewall scarps in the Squillace canyon system (location in Fig. 26.1). The cauliform headwall lies 1–3 km from the coast, not all branches of the dendritic network connect with the hydrographic system onshore (in *blue*)

Figure A.9. Example of landslide, submarine environment, canyon system (from Ceramicola et al., 2014).


Fig. 2 a Difference map obtained by comparing multibeam bathymetries acquired pre- (February 2002) and post-slide (January 2003) showing the geometry of the 30th December 2002 submarine scar down to—350 m; at greater depths two flow trails, associated to the erosion exerted on the seafloor by the sliding mass, are also recognizable; b Slope map (in degrees) computed on the pre- (February 2002) slide DEM, indicating a steeper part of the submarine slope (volcaniclastic apron) in the first 300 m of depth

Figure A.10. Example of landslide, submarine environment, slope slide (from Casalbore et al., 2020).

Figure 10. Sequence of aerial photographs (time span from 2006 to 2018), showing evolution of the sinkhole phenomena.

Figure A.11. Example of sinkholes (from Margiotta et al., 2021).

Fig. 3 Examples of sinkholes located in the city of Naples: **a** Via Girolamo Santacroce, Vomero munici-pality, 15/02/2019; **b** viale Calascione a Monte di Dio, 08/11/2019; **c** via Jacopo De Gennaro, Fuorigrotta municipality, 18/12/2019 (modified from www.ilmattino.it); **d** parking of Ospedale del Mare, 08/01/2021

Figure A.12. Example of anthropogenic sinkholes in urban area (from Tufano et al., 2022).


Figure 2. Point-like sinkhole inventory overlapped on orthophoto referred to June 2021. Photos on the left referred to: Regina and Colonnelle lakes (from Bing Maps© Microsoft) (1), St. Giovanni Lake (from Falcioni 2018) (2), Travertine quarrying areas (3) and deposits (from Street View © Google) (4)

Figure A.13. Example of subsidence area (from Bianchini et al., 2022).

Fig. 2 – Esempi di fratture nel terreno e dissesti agli edifici causati dal sisma nelle aree di indagine A: a); B: b); e C: (c, d).
Fig. 2 – Typical seismic-induced soil fractures and damages to structures observed in areas A: a); B: b); and C: (c, d).

Figure A.14. Example of effects of liquefaction processes (from Tonni et al., 2015).